Ex. D

(9-1-10 Letter)

Mark A. Ford

+1 617 526 6423(t) +1 617 526 5000(f) mark.ford@wilmerhale.com

September 1, 2010

By E-mail

Lauren Ravkind Kenny Nachwalter One Congress Plaza 111 Congress Avenue, Suite 1060 Austin, Texas 78701

Re: King Drug Direct Purchaser Litigation – Civ. A. No. 06-1797 Vista Healthplan End Payor Class Action – Civ. A. No. 06-1833 Apotex Litigation – Civ. A. No. 06-2768 FTC Litigation – Civ. A. No. 08-2141

Dear Lauren:

I write in response to your letter of August 24, 2010, and to follow-up on our teleconferences on August 25th and 26th, regarding the parties' obligations to log privileged materials in the above-captioned litigation.

First, I wish to confirm our agreement regarding Cephalon's obligation to log privileged communications. In particular, we understand that Direct Purchasers do not object to Cephalon's proposal that it exclude the following from any privilege log in this litigation:

- 1. materials prepared by or exchanged among and between Cephalon's outside counsels and their staffs only;
- 2. communications among or between Cephalon's outside counsel and Cephalon's in-house counsel, regardless of the subject matter of those communications;
- 3. communications among or between Cephalon's counsel (outside or in-house) and other Cephalon employees or agents about this litigation (including the patent claims in the Apotex case), the FTC investigation, and/or the underlying patent litigation; and
- 4. communications, on or after April 27, 2006 (the date the *King Drug* complaint was filed), among or between Cephalon's outside counsel and outside counsel for the Generic Defendants about this litigation (including the patent claims in the Apotex case).

Lauren Ravkind September 1, 2010 Page 2

With respect to (2) and (3) above, the parties agree to revisit the scope of these carve-outs if Cephalon affirmatively relies on advice of counsel in this case. In addition, you have asked that Plaintiffs may revisit the agreements set forth in (2) and (3) above for "any [other] reason." Cephalon confirms that it will not object to a request to reopen the discussion about those agreements if the Plaintiffs believe in good faith circumstances have changed necessitating their access to a log of such responsive communications.

With respect to (4) above, Cephalon had additionally proposed that communications among or between Cephalon's outside counsel and outside counsel for the Generic Defendants *about the FTC Investigation* also be excluded from any log. Although the FTC has agreed to this exclusion, and no other party has voiced an objection, the Direct Purchasers object. For the reasons set forth during our call, Cephalon believes Direct Purchasers' demand is completely unjustified. However, in the interest of moving this negotiation along to completion, Cephalon will revisit this issue with the Direct Purchasers when and if it identifies any such communications that are both responsive and need to be withheld or redacted on the basis of a privilege claim.

In light of the foregoing, Cephalon does not object to reciprocal carve-outs for the Direct Purchasers. Cephalon, however, does not speak for its co-defendants. Specifically, as far as Cephalon is concerned, Direct Purchasers need not log:

- 1. materials prepared by or exchanged among a Direct Purchaser's outside counsel and their staffs only;
- 2. communications among or between a Direct Purchaser's outside counsel and inhouse counsel regarding any subject matter;
- 3. communications among or between a Direct Purchaser's counsel (outside or inhouse) and another employee or agent of that Direct Purchaser about this litigation (e.g., communications concerning factual investigation to respond to discovery requests, deposition preparation, trial preparation, document collection, and similar litigation efforts); and
- 4. communications among or between a Direct Purchaser's outside counsel and outside counsel for another Direct Purchaser or Plaintiff about this litigation (e.g., concerning litigation strategy and coordination).

Lauren Ravkind September 1, 2010 Page 3

With respect to (4), however, for the reasons set forth in Cephalon's September 1, 2010 letter to the FTC, the carve-out does not include communications among or between a Direct Purchaser's outside counsel and counsel for the FTC which took place before the FTC filed suit in federal court on February 13, 2008.

Best regards,

Mark A. Ford

MAF/djk

CC: James C. Burling

Distribution (via email)

Lauren Ravkind September 1, 2010 Page 4

DISTRIBUTION

	V N W-11 F
Markus H. Meier, Esq.	Karen N. Walker, Esq.
Bradley S. Albert, Esq.	Greg Skidmore, Esq.
Timothy J. Slattery, Esq.	Kirkland & Ellis LLP
Federal Trade Commission	655 Fifteenth Street, N.W.
600 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.	Washington, D.C. 20005-5793
Washington, D.C. 20580	karen.walker@kirkland.com
mmeir@ftc.gov	greg.skidmore@kirkland.com
balbert@ftc.gov	Counsel for Barr
tslattery@ftc.gov	
Counsel for the Federal Trade Commission	
C. Fairley Spillman, Esq.	Lisa Jose Fales, Esq.
Akin Gump Strauss Hauer & Feld LLP	Danielle R. Foley, Esq.
1333 New Hampshire Avenue, N.W.	Venable LLP
Washington, D.C. 20036-1564	575 7 th Street, N.W.
fspillman@akingump.com	Washington, D.C. 20004
Counsel for Mylan	ljfales@Venable.com
	drfoley@Venable.com
	Counsel for Ranbaxy
Joseph E. Wolfson, Esq.	Joseph Opper, Esq.
Stevens & Lee	Kimberly Hennings, Esq.
620 Freedom Business Center, Suite 200	Garwin Gerstein & Fisher LLP
P.O. Box 62330	1501 Broadway, Suite 1416
jwo@stevenslee.com	New York, NY 10036
Counsel for Teva	jopper@garwingerstein.com
	khennings@garwingerstein.com
	Lead Counsel for Direct Purchasers
Daniel Berger, Esq.	Moncia L. Rebuck, Esq.
David F. Sorensen, Esq.	Hangley Aronchick Segal & Pudlin
Berger & Montague, P.C.	30 North Third Street
1622 Locust Street	Suite 700
Philadelphia, PA 19103	Harrisburg, PA 17101-1701
danberger@bm.net	mrebuck@hangley.com
dsorensen@bm.net	Counsel for Rite-Aid Plaintiffs
Liaison Counsel to Direct Purchaser	
District Control of the Control of t	

Lauren Ravkind September 1, 2010 Page 5

Scott E. Perwin, Esq.	Joseph H. Melzter, Esq.
Kenny Nachwalter, P.A.	Barroway Topaz Kessler Meltzer Check LLP
201 South Biscayne Boulevard	280 King of Prussia Road
1100 Miami Center	Radnor, PA 19087
Miami, Florida 33131-4327	jmeltzer@btkmc.com
sperwin@kennynachwalter.com	Liaison and Interim Lead Counsel for End-
Counsel for Walgreen Plaintiffs	Payors
Kevin B. Love, Esq.	Theodore M. Lieverman, Esq.
Criden & Love, P.A.	Spector Roseman Kodroff & Willis P.C.
7301 SW 57 th Court, Suite 515	1818 Market Street, Suite 2500
South Miami, FL 33143	Philadelphia, PA 19103
klove@cridenlove.com	tlieverman@srkw-law.com
Co-Lead Counsel for End-Payors	Co-Lead Counsel for End-Payors
Kendall S. Zylstra, Esq.	Robert B. Breisblatt, Esq.
Faruqi & Faruqi, LLP	Brian J. Sodikoff, Esq.
101 Greenwood Avenue	Katten Muchin Rosenman LLP
Suite 600	525 West Monroe Street
Jenkintown, PA 19046	Chicago, IL 60661-3693
kzylstra@faruqilaw.com	modafinil@kattenlaw.com
Counsel for Avmed	Counsel for Apotex